Testimony to the Senate Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions February 12, 2002 By Art Van Zee, MD

The OxyContin Abuse Problem

 $\ensuremath{\text{I}}$ come to you as a representative of a group called the Lee Coalition

for Health, a non-profit group of professionals and community persons who have for the last ten years worked in Lee County, Virginia to promote health

and wellness issues. The last two years of our efforts have been consumed by $% \left\{ 1,2,...,n\right\}$

trying to help deal with the OxyContin problem in our region.

In the 25 years I have practiced as a general internist in St. Charles,

a small Appalachian coal mining town, there has never been anything to compare to the epidemic of drug abuse and addiction that we have seen the last 3 years with OxyContin. Contrary to what is sometimes portrayed in the media as long term drug addicts switching to the drug du jour, what we have seen for the most part is numerous young people recreationally using OxyContin and then becoming very rapidly addicted. Many of these kids are good kids, good families, with bright, promising futures that are

beina

as

destroyed in every way by their opioid addiction. Opioids—as derivatives of opium—are the most powerful pain medication—with morphine being most familiar to you. OxyContin addiction is opioid addiction, the same

morphine or heroin addiction and wreaks the same havoc on individuals, families, and communities. It is hard to find a family in Lee County that has

not been touched directly or indirectly by this problem of $\ensuremath{\mathsf{OxyContin}}$ abuse.

This is a sadly repetitive story for the numerous areas of the country now affected by this from Washington County, Maine to southern Florida.

My own personal view of the complicated OxyContin abuse problem is that there are at least three major elements involved. First, there has been

an obvious problem with physician mis-prescribing and over-prescribing of this drug. Secondly, this epidemic has been a vicious indicator of the alarming degree of prescription drug abuse in this society. Thirdly, and perhaps the one closest to this committee and the FDA, is that the promotion

and marketing of OxyContin by Purdue Pharma has played a major role in this problem.

Purdue Pharma, in the most extensive opioid promotion in the history of the industry, has used sophisticated marketing data to determine

which physicians in the country prescribe opioids most liberally (and in some cases, least discriminately) and have coupled that data with

financial incentives to their sales representatives. One sales rep in Florida made \$50,000 in 1999 and \$100,000 in 2000 in bonus incentives—over and above her \$50,000 salary because of the high OxyContin sales in her territory. Purdue used thousands of company sponsored talks and seminars—well shown in the medical literature to influence and increase physician prescribing of a particular product. Purdue heavily lobbied primary care physicians for the use of OxyContin—and primary care physicians traditionally have had meager training in pain management and addiction issues. The company used promotional free OxyContin pills for patients and beach hats and music CDs for physicians. In addition, Purdue engaged in an extensive and sophisticated non-branded promotion of opioids in general—in which the benefits of opioids for chronic non-malignant

pain

were much over-stated and the risks trivialized. A testimony to the $\operatorname{success}$

of the promotional and marketing campaign is reflected in the fact that from $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

1996 to 2000, the use of other commonly used opioids grew 23% while OxyContin prescriptions dispensed during the same period increased by over 1800%. The fact that OxyContin does not offer any major advantages over appropriate doses of other opioids again is testimony to the success of Purdue's campaign.

The current regulations governing the way the pharmaceutical industry can market and promote opioids, or any controlled drug--has not served well the public health in this situation. Not to drastically change

those types of regulations at this point would give sanction and safe harbor to the drug companies for the continuation of such business practices which do not serve any of us well.

The Lee Coalition for Health nearly a year ago now, initiated a national petition to recall OxyContin until it can be re-formulated to a less

abusable drug. The rationale for this is as follows:

(1) we have available equally effective opioids for treatment of severe pain. All Purdue funded studies to date have shown this--

that is, OxyContin is a good drug but not superior drug to

potential than OxyContin;

- (2) particularly in the light that we have equally effective opioids to treat severe pain, it is clear that the pain and suffering brought by the abuse of the drug far surpasses its benefits;
- (3) that with this fastest growing epidemic of prescription drug abuse in the US in the last 25 years, all other measures taken to stem the diversion and abuse will fall far short of what is needed;
- the recall of OxyContin is NOT a recall of opioids. OxyContin is unique and its abuse unprecedented. The economics of OxyContin diversion and abuse will now perpetuate this disaster regardless of the full array of measures taken to stem the tide. It's time Purdue Pharma did what Sterling-Winthrop Laboratories did in 1983 when its narcotic was the source of increasing abuse, medical complications, and over-dose deaths in the country. It voluntarily recalled Talwin until it could be re-formulated to a preparation with much less abuse potential.

Thank you for the opportunity to speak to you today, and thank you for your attention.

Art Van Zee, MD Lee Coalition for Health

oooj ooc™aaa°aaaraaa { u { u { oooooooooooooooooooooooooo
nna/nnafana}nna″nna-nna¶nna .nnannnamnanœnnaìnna .nna.nnaynnaÂnna

DOOqqDOODOODOODOODOODOODOODAA λ !	
---	--

	ìò000;0	
$\Box\Box\pm$	$\Box\Box\div$	

□□ÿ

□□M □□−

□□á

 $\Box\Box$,

00000L000-000â000/

00000h00000000000000000000000000000000	ĴÊ□
00000?000A000,,000Ô000	

000\$000000ä000æ000è000è000100000000000000000
000-000Ê000Ì000000R000000;000£000â000\$000b000y000{000Á000p0009000x000·000÷0000

0000r00030000

OnhOO01000÷000r000405060708090000Times	New
${\tt Roman} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\$	
	00000000000000000000000000000000000000
a_a_a_a_a_a_a_a_a_a=	
80000000000000000K0000000000000000	